

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/812,301	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MUELLER, PETER D.	
	<b>Examiner</b> GREG BENGZON	<b>Art Unit</b> 2444	

**All Participants:**

 (1) GREG BENGZON.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

 (3) Andy Kim, 61050.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 June 2009
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

With authorization from SPE Vaughn Examiner Bengzon indicated possible claim amendments that would bring claims closer to allowance. Examiner Bengzon proposed claim amendments regarding the intended destination of the management command, said destination indicating where the management command is to be applied. Mr. Kim provided clarification regarding the claims and indicated that the destination of the management command is the microprocessor bus system. There was no discussion or agreement regarding allowable subject matter.

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

